

1  
2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

4 TARISHA SHARNEE JACKSON,  
5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 GEICO CHOICE INSURANCE COMPANY,  
8 *et al.*,  
9 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:25-cv-00537-CDS-NJK

**Order**

[Docket No. 35]

10 Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulation to extend case management deadlines  
11 by 45 days. Docket No. 35.

12 A request to extend unexpired deadlines in the scheduling order must be premised on a  
13 showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4); Local Rule 26-3. The good cause analysis turns  
14 on whether the subject deadlines cannot reasonably be met despite the exercise of diligence.  
15 *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992).<sup>1</sup>

16 A request to extend case management deadlines must provide a "statement specifying the  
17 discovery completed." Local Rule 26-3. To allow the Court to make a proper determination of  
18 whether the parties have been diligent throughout the discovery period, this statement must include  
19 the dates on which all discovery occurred. Such information is absent here. *See* Docket No. 35 at  
20 2. Therefore, the Court cannot determine whether the parties have been diligent.

21 Accordingly, the stipulation is **DENIED** without prejudice. Docket No. 35.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: July 10, 2025

24   
25 Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge

26  
27 <sup>1</sup> That a request is jointly submitted "neither mandates allowance of the extension sought  
28 nor exempts parties from making the necessary showings to justify that relief. Failure to provide  
such showings may result in denial of a stipulated request to extend the case management  
deadlines." *Williams v. James River Grp. Inc.*, 627 F. Supp. 3d 1172, 1178 (D. Nev. 2022).